

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,208	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733	

All Participants:

(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(2) Ms Maria Gasaway.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
all

Prior art documents discussed:
prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed Counsel that the amendment to claim 1 dated 01-09-06 fails to define over the art of record. Proposed removing using the same polymeric foam on different surfaces of a batt to define over the art of record. Counsel agreed. Examiner also suggested to make clear that only a single coating layer is applied onto surfaces of a batt. Counsel also agreed. Participants also agreed to amend dependent claims 16-17 to make them consistent with claim 1.